-Cal

1 2 3 4 5 6	SCOTT H. HOWARD, CITY ATTORNEY ANN M. MAURER, SR. ASSISTANT CITY ATT DORINE MARTIROSIAN, DEPUTY CITY ATT MIAH YUN, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY, SBN 613 East Broadway, Suite 220 Glendale, California 91206 Telephone: (818) 548-2080 FAX: (818) 547-3402 Attorneys for Plaintiff CITY OF GLENDALE	CONFORMED COPY FORNEY, SBN 179649F ORIGINAL FILED FORNEY, SBN 204236 Angeles Superior Count Filed Filed Forney, SBN 204236 Angeles Superior Count Filed File
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
10	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA;) SCOTT H. HOWARD, CITY ATTORNEY OF)	BC377206 Case No.: COMPLAINT FOR PRELIMINARY
12	THE CITY OF GLENDALE; AND THE CITY) OF GLENDALE, a Municipal Corporation,) Plaintiffs,)	AND PERMANENT INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
114 115 116 117 118 119 20	vs. GRAND VIEW MEMORIAL PARK, INC.; MOSHE GOLDSMAN, An Individual; THE ESTATE OF MARSHA L. HOWARD, Deceased; THOMAS TRIMBLE, ADMINISTRATOR OF ESTATE OF MARSHA L. HOWARD; and DOES 1 through 50, Inclusive, Defendants.	[Exempt from filing fees pursuant to Government Code §6103]
221 222 23 224 225 226 227 228	Plaintiffs allege: 1. This action is brought in the name of the People of the State of California pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 731, to enjoin the continued maintenance of certain conditions constituting a public nuisance on the property known as Grand View Memorial Park, located at 1341 Glenwood Road, in the City of Glendale, County of Los Angeles, State of California. Said action is additionally brought in the name of the City of Glendale, a municipal Corporation existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, located in the County of Los Angeles, to abate said conditions on	

said property pursuant to Civil Code §3494.

- 2. The Council of the City of Glendale has duly authorized the filing of this action.
- 3. Plaintiffs do not know the true names and capacities of the defendants sued herein as Does 1 through 50, inclusive, and therefore sue those defendants under such fictitious names.

 Plaintiffs will seek leave to amend this complaint by inserting their true names and capacities when ascertained.
- 4. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and based thereon allege that each of the fictitiously-named defendants have some interest, whether legal or equitable, in the real property described in this complaint, and are responsible in some manner for the conditions complained of and the continuing maintenance of those conditions, the facts of which are more fully described below.
- 5. On information and belief, plaintiffs allege that at all material times, each defendant acted as an agent, servant and employee of each other defendant. The defendants, and each of them, were acting within the time, place, scope, course and authority of their agency and employment.
- 6. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and based thereon allege that at all pertinent times defendant Grand View Memorial Park, Inc., Moshe Goldsman, an Individual, and The Estate of Marsha L. Howard and/or Thomas Trimble, Administrator of Estate of Marsha L. Howard, Does 1 through 50, and each of them, were and are the owners of record and/or are in control of the real property consisting of land and which collectively is referred to in this complaint as the "subject property", located at 1341 Glenwood Road, Glendale, California 91201 and identified by Los Angeles County Assessor's Parcel Numbers 5622-025-038 and 5622-025-937.
- 7. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that at all times mentioned in this complaint, Marsha L. Howard was the President and director of the corporation. Howard was the majority shareholder of the corporation, holding approximately 51% of the shares. Howard died in November of 2006. Her estate is administered by Thomas Trimble. Moshe Goldsman was/is the secretary and/or vice-president director of the corporation and a member of the Board of Trustees. Goldsman is the minority shareholder of the corporation, holding approximately 49% of the shares. Charles F. Ramsey, Sr. was a member of the Board of Trustees. Thomas E. Trimble was a member of the Board of Trustees.

- 8. At all pertinent times, the Glendale Building and Safety Code, Volume VI, Section 126 (16.2) provides that "[n]o person who has any ownership or possessory interest in, or control of a parcel of land shall allow to exist thereon any hazardous refuse or hazardous grass, weeds, shrubs, trees, or other vegetation, which, by reason of proximity to a building or structure, constitutes a fire hazard." "Hazardous vegetation" is defined as grass, weeds, shrubs, trees or other vegetation which are in such condition and location, or by the unique characteristics of a species, as to provide a ready fuel supply to augment the spread or intensity of a fire.
- 9. At all pertinent times, the Glendale Building Code, Volume VI, Section 126 (16.3 and 16.3.1) added by Glendale Ordinance No. 5329, provide that in order to provide sufficient defensible space against the start or spread of fire, each person who has any ownership or possessory interest in, or control of, a parcel of land shall: 'Remove from the property all hazardous vegetation" if such vegetation is within 100 feet of a building" Moreover, trees, ornamental shrubbery and/or plants which are used as ground cover must be removed unless such are landscape material that are property irrigated and maintained and do not provide a ready fuel supply to augment the spread or intensity of a fire.
- 10. Grand View Memorial Park consists of approximately 22 acres of property. The property houses several buildings, including, but not limited to, a chapel, two mausoleums, crematorium, caretaker's residence, and a business office. Additionally, the landscaping consists of numerous trees and vast groundcover.
- 11. Grand View Memorial Park ceased operations in June of 2006 and no longer allowed visitors to enter the Cemetery's grounds. After requests from the community for the City of Glendale to assist family members in gaining access to the Cemetery, the City negotiated a limited access agreement with the owners that permitted the City to open the Cemetery's grounds one day a week. The Cemetery was opened under that limited access agreement from August 27, 2006 through December 17, 2006. From January through June of 2007, the City continued to open the Cemetery but on a reduced schedule.
- 12. After the City gained access to the subject property in June of 2006, numerous Building and Safety Code violations as well as Glendale Municipal Code violations were found to

exist. These violations include, but are not limited to dead vegetation, including grass and trees; lack of a functioning irrigation system; the maintenance of a fire hazard due to the dead vegetation and lack of irrigation system; the maintenance of a hazard due to the danger of dead or dying tree limbs falling.

- 13. The Cemetery's condition and lack of maintenance were and are a significant concern for the City. Due to an "Evidence Preservation Order" issued by Judge Anthony J. Mohr in Case No. BC342549 in connection with lawsuits involving operation of the Cemetery, the City has been limited in the actions it can take with regard to abating the nuisance.
- 14. Plaintiffs have previously made demands on defendants, and each of them, to abate said nuisance and will make further demands consistent with the Glendale Building and Safety Code. Nevertheless, the conditions at the subject property have remained in violation of the above-referenced code sections and as such are a hazard to the public health, safety and welfare.
- 15. At all material times since June 2006 and continuing to the present, said conditions, as set forth above, affect the personal welfare and property rights of a large number of inhabitants of the City of Glendale who reside near the Cemetery and who are detrimentally affected by the violations alleged herein. Said conditions on the subject property have been and continue to be a public nuisance as defined by Civil Code §3480. Said public nuisance is the result of the aforesaid Glendale Building and Safety Code and Glendale Municipal Code violations.
- 12. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and based thereon allege that unless and until said conditions are enjoined and restrained by order of this Court, defendants' continued failure to correct said conditions will continue to endanger the neighboring inhabitants' personal welfare and property rights.
- 13. Plaintiffs have no plain, speedy or adequate remedy at law for defendants' breach of duty and maintenance of a public nuisance, in that any other legal process has not, and will not, abate the existing hazardous and substandard conditions.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray for the following:

1. For a decree by this Court declaring the conditions which exist at the property located at 1341 Glenwood Road, Glendale, California, constitute a public nuisance per se;

- 2. For an Order requiring defendants, and each of them, to show cause why they should not be ordered to immediately commence and complete all corrective work necessary to bring the property into full compliance with the <u>Glendale Building & Safety Code</u>, and <u>Glendale Municipal Code</u>;
- 3. For a Preliminary and Permanent Injunction requiring defendants, and each of them, and their respective officers, partners, agents, servants, employees, assigns, and all other persons acting under, in concert with or for them, to immediately bring the property into compliance with all Glendale Building & Safety Code and Glendale Municipal Code requirements, to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works of the City of Glendale and/or the Fire Chief of the City of Glendale or any City official or his or her designee.
- 4. Alternatively, for an Order: (a) finding that defendants are not capable of providing the immediate abatement of a public nuisance; and (b) requiring defendants to remedy all conditions deemed to constitute a public nuisance <u>per se</u>; and/or (c) authorizing plaintiff City of Glendale to complete all necessary work to bring the property into compliance and to obtain reimbursement, through a lien or other means, from defendants and/or defendants' property.
- 5. For the appointment of a receiver to oversee the maintenance and safety issues, as set forth in the Notice of Motion and Motion for the Appointment of a Receiver to be subsequently filed.
- 6. For a continuing Order authorizing plaintiffs' officers, agents and/or employees to enter upon and inspect the property.
- 7. For reasonable costs plaintiffs incurred in this action including, but not limited to, the costs to enforce and/or remedy the aforementioned conditions, the costs of this suit, and attorney's fees:
 - 8. For such other or further relief as the Court may deem just and proper

DATED: September 10, 2007 SCOTT H. HOWARD, CITY ATTORNEY

By: ANN M. MAURER
Attorneys for Plaintiffs